

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
10/694,302		10/27/2003		Douglas Vincent Larson	200309576-1	3575	
	22879	2879 7590 10/27/2006			EXAMINER		
	HEWLETT	PACKA	10/27/2006 CKARD COMPANY	BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE			
	P O BOX 27	2400, 340	04 E. HARMONY R				
	INTELLEC	ΓUAL PR	OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLLINS CO 80527-2400				2186	<del>-</del>		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/694,302	LARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Sectors</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawr  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the	n from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  epted or b) □ objected to by the I					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

- 1. The instant Office Action is taken in response to applicant's communication filed September 6, 2006 responding to Non-Final Rejection dated April 18, 2006. Applicant's amendment and/or arguments have been considered with the results that follow.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are now pending in the application under prosecution. Claims 1-10 have been canceled.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2186

5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,804,761 (Chen et al).

With respect to claim 13, Chen discloses method of dynamically allocating memory of a computer system operable when a program running on the computer system requests allocation of a requested memory block from a memory pool (computer memory allocating method comprising receiving a request from a computer program for a block of memory where the available block of memory is allocated to a function in a computer program memory pool) comprising steps of:

testing a size of the requested memory block to determine if the size is representable as N times two raised to the power M times a cache line size of the computer system, where N is an integer equal to or greater than 1, and M is an integer greater than one (a chunk manager to locate an available block of memory having a size within a predefined range around the requested block size); and, if the size of the requested memory block is so representable, further comprising:

determining a spacer size determined as a random spacer size within a predetermined range of allowable spacer size (modifying request by chunk manager such that size of requested memory block corresponds to standard block size, where manager locates available block of memory, size),

reserving a spacer block of memory from the memory pool, the spacer block being of the spacer size; and

allocating the memory block, adjacent to the spacer block, from the memory pool (the chunk manager locating an available block of memory having a size within a predefined range around the requested block size where the available block of memory is allocated to a function in a computer program memory pool). [See abstract, Fig. 7, Col. 2, Lines 23-40; Col. 4, Line 65 to Col 5, Line 27].

With respect to claim 12, Chen discloses the integer M parameterized such that it may be adjusted to optimize performance (modified by a chunk manager such that the size of the requested memory block corresponds to a standard block size in order to reduce the amount of memory fragmentation) [Col. 4, Lines 65-67].

With respect to claim 13, Chen discloses the range of permissible spacer sizes is adjustable by a system administrator [(memory allocation system used on a workstation coupled to a central computer system in a multi-tasking environment) Col. 3, Lines 16-27].

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2186

US 5,930,827 (Sturges) teaching method and apparatus for dynamic memory management by association of free memory blocks using a binary tree organized in an address and size dependent manner.

US 5,675,790 (Walls) teaching method for improving the performance of dynamic memory allocation by removing small memory fragments from the memory pool.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,302 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4178. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00A to 4:30P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pierre-Michel Bataille Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

October 25, 2006

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER